JULIA A. OLSON (OR Bar 062230)

juliaaolson@gmail.com

WILD EARTH ADVOCATES

1216 Lincoln Street Eugene, OR 97401

Tel: (415) 786-4825

DANIEL M. GALPERN (OR Bar 061950)

dan.galpern@gmail.com

LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL M. GALPERN

2495 Hilyard Street, Suite A

Eugene, OR 97405 Tel: (541) 968-7164

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

JOSEPH W. COTCHETT

jcotchett@cpmlegal.com

PHILIP L. GREGORY (pro hac vice)

pgregory@cpmlegal.com

PAUL N. MCCLOSKEY

pmccloskey@cpmlegal.com

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP

San Francisco Airport Office Center

840 Malcolm Road

Burlingame, CA 94010

Tel: (650) 697-6000 Fax: (650) 697-0577

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

KELSEY CASCADIA ROSE JULIANA; XIUHTEZCATL TONATIUH M., through his Guardian Tamara Roske-Martinez; et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

The UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; DONALD TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States; et al.,

Federal Defendants.

Case No.: 6:15-cv-01517-TC

DECLARATION OF JULIA A. OLSON in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Intervenor Defendants' Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Plaintiffs' Request for Admissions.

- I, Julia, A. Olson, hereby declare and if called upon would testify as follows:
 - 1. I am an attorney of record in the above-entitled action and I have personal knowledge of the statements made herein.
 - 2. On February 15, Plaintiffs provided counsel for Intervenor Defendants a summary of the Federal Government Defendants' admissions in their Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, and provided Intervenor Defendants with relevant core facts from their clients and their clients' members that would assist with addressing Plaintiffs' requests for admission.
 - 3. On March 24, Plaintiffs served requests for admission on Intervenor Defendants.
 - 4. On April 27, Plaintiffs agreed to not oppose the extension of Intervenor Defendants' deadline to respond to Plaintiffs' requests for admission until May 15. On April 28, counsel for Intervenor Defendants emailed the clerk for this court, stating that Plaintiffs had agreed to extend the deadline for the Intervenor Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' requests for admission until May 15. In exchange for the extension to May 15, Marie Eckert, counsel for Intervenor Defendants, stated that Intervenors would confer in good faith at the May 4, 2017 in-person meet and confer, and would provide "information regarding the intervenors' responses that we believe will help narrow the issues of concern to plaintiffs...."
 - 5. On May 4, 2017 counsel for the parties met and conferred for a full day in person in Portland, Oregon. During that meet and confer, counsel for Intervenor Defendants represented that they had reached a consensus among the three Intervenors and would file and serve responses to Plaintiffs' request for admissions ("RFAs") to Intervenor

Defendants by May 15, 2017 in order to try to limit the scope of the material factual DECLARATION OF JULIA A. OLSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS

- issues in dispute as well as discovery, and address the Plaintiffs' and Court's concern about what issues related to climate change the Intervenor Defendants would contest at trial.
- 6. During the May 4, 2017 in person meet and confer, counsel for Federal Defendants stated that they had no direction from the Trump administration that they would seek to amend their Answer to the Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.
- 7. During the May 4, 2017 in person meet and confer, all Defendants said that they would seek a writ of mandamus from the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, and the Supreme Court if necessary to avoid having the case go to trial.
- 8. During the May 4, 2017 in person meet and confer, Federal Defendants stated that they have not found experts willing to disagree with Plaintiffs' disclosed scientific experts, only the economics experts.
- 9. On May 9, 2017, counsel for Intervenor Defendants, Marie Eckert, stated in a voicemail to counsel for Plaintiffs that the clients are "asking for a further extension of time to respond to their request for admissions until the date that the federal defendants are required to respond on May 31. My understanding is that they have had numerous meetings and calls with a host of their members trying to get a consensus on these issues and that is in progress. But given the magnitude of the shear sort of number of the requests here that is a long and laborious process and we expected to be ready to go on the on the 15th and we're not, so I'm sorry to ask for further requests. It is still our goal and hope to have these responses narrowed the issues um and not to you know, just being a place where there are denials. So the concern is if they are unable to get the extension that well, one, we'll probably move the court for an extension um, which we may or may

DECLARATION OF JULIA A. OLSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS

not get. But two, if they have to respond on May 15th, it likely will be more denials and

will not be as productive as we would like it to be."

10. On May 9, 2017, in a second voicemail from counsel for Intervenor Defendants, Marie

Eckert, to counsel for Plaintiffs, Ms. Eckert stated, "I think the problem is, as I

understand they're having, is they just can't quite get unanimity, sorry, unanimous view

among these three clients. Some having taken position, some have not on different issues.

Some have different views. And so you sort of take the three clients and the ninety-six

admissions and uh you know, the overall goal is to take some of these hotly-contested or

issues and make them uncontested. I shouldn't say hotly contested issues, but take some

stuff off the table where plaintiffs are concerned the intervenors are going to come in and

contest some of the admissions that feds have made and so forth. But Frank has not been

able to get full agreement from the intervenor group on the responses to these requests,

and again the concern is if we don't get that ah we're going to end up with a bunch of

denials, which is not going to be helpful to you."

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true

and correct.

DATED this 11th day of May, 2017, at Eugene, Oregon.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Julia A. Olson